Challenges for journals working with institutions on research integrity
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What might “good” stewardship look like?

Introducing the Doing the Right Thing award, honoring those who clean up the scientific literature
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https://www.statnews.com/2017/05/05/dirt-award-cleaning-scientific-literature/
Stewardship: ADA and Diabetes

- Duplicate image
- Correction

- Expression of concern: “reliability of data”
- Institutional investigation
- “Negligence” → Correction?

https://www.statnews.com/2017/05/05/dirt-award-cleaning-scientific-literature/
Stewardship

“Concerns regarding the reliability of the data cannot be sufficiently mitigated by publishing another erratum with another image; therefore, the Panel recommends to retract the article

https://www.statnews.com/2017/05/05/dirt-award-cleaning-scientific-literature/
Reflecting on that case

Good: Open lines of communication

Needs work: Shared vision

Both are things to think about when we’re talking about “collaboration and communication” between institutions and journals
For discussion, from the CLUE preprint

Journals ask:

• Who do I contact?
• What can I share?
• How do I decide when to contact?
• How do I manage data?

http://biorxiv.org/content/early/2017/05/19/139170